

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/136,244	08/19/1998	MORDECHAI M. BEIZER	MORDECHAI M. BEIZER 76179DAN 1470			
75	90 05/17/2002					
MARY LOU WAKIMURA HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. TWO MILITIA DRIVE			EXAMINER			
			COLBERT, ELLA			
LEXINGTON,	MA 02421-4799	. 02421-4799		PAPER NUMBER		
			3624			
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

cd

## Advisory Action

			_ 6
Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/136,244		BEIZER ET AL.	/
Examiner		Art Unit	
Ella Colbert		3624	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-58</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:
·

Gontifuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants' ame then to claims 21 and 53 reciting "task" deadless task" triggering, and "for performing the particular task" will require further search and consideration. Applicants' amendment to claims 1, 28, and 37 will require further consideration.

Applicants' claim language reciting "space-reserving placeholders" is not interpreted as being consistent with the language in Applicants' Specification. Applicants' Specification recites "a placeholder 24 is defined as a slot that has been reserved for one or more specific documents not yet created or received." This claim limitation is not consistent with the usage of "placeholder/placehoders" found in Applicants' Specification. A "placeholder" is defined as a "slot" or "space" for holding a document, etc. Applicants' argue: the moving and/or copying of placeholder files (data files) teaches away from the present invention's creating and storing new documents within a slot (space) of the Workfolder reserved by a placeholder has been considered but is not persuasive because in col. 8, lines 48-55 ("... the placeholder (slot or space) catalog file is maintained in the data storage management system 50 ..."). It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create the document prior to storing the document. Therefore, claims 1-58 still remain rejected for the reasons stated above.

MINCENT MILLIN

SUPERVISORY PATERIT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CERTIEN 3600